

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,066	06/25/2001	Duriez Gilbert	612.40180X00	1768
. 20457 7	7590 08/29/2002			
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			EXAMINER	
			SIEFKE, SAMUEL P	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1743	5
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2002	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)
	09/887,066	GILBERT ET AL.
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Samuel P Siefke	1743
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication apperiod for Reply	pears on the c ver sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ The	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	<u>-</u>	
Disp sition of Claims	•	
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	iwn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or alastian requirement	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.	
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received ir	Application No
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Between the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
<ul> <li>a)    The translation of the foreign language pr</li> <li>15)    Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>		
Attachm nt(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>		ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

5471 :JinU hA

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Hrdina teaches a flow through reactor, which forms a part of an analyzer that

Hrdina (USPN 3,615,235) in view of Cheney et al. (USPN 5,566,720).

comprises: a means for extracting in the gaseous form, means for transporting the

extracted gases, means inteded for analysis and measurement on theses extracted

gases.

Hrdina does not teach any information regarding the analyzer being used for

hydrocarbons and the specific material being incorporated in the transport tubing.

Cheney teaches an elongated fuel and vapor tube having multiple layers suitable

for conveying fluids containing hydrocarbons having a first layer disposed radially

innermost and having an inner surface capable of prolonged exposure to the hydrocarbon-containing fluid that comprises of a fluoroplastic material being a terpolymeric material containing tetrafluoroethylene, vinylidine difluoride and hexafluoropropylene (abstract, col. 3, lines 37-40; col. 4, lines 18-33), the inner tube obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time to modify Hrdina to include the elongated fuel and vapor tube of Cheney because of the retention time of the hydrocarbons in the tubing during the transporting to the analyzer or the the analyzer can be used with any gaseous mixture, including hydrocarbons for example and would still be able to analyze the gases, including hydrocarbons for example and would still after drilling in a reservoir rock.

Regarding in a reservoir rock.

0.5 mm and it is preferably below 0.2mm. Cheney teaches the inner tubing having a total wall thickness of between about 0.5 mm and 2 mm. At its smallest wall thickness 0.5 mm the wall comprises of three layers, an innermost, a secondary sub layer, and a second layer, a total of three layers (claim 14; col. 4, lines 34-46). Therefore at least one of the layers is below 0.2 mm.

Conclusion

847 ነ inu ክA

examiner should be directed to Samuel P Siefke whose telephone number is 703-306-Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's 0093. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am-5:00pm.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or for regular communications and 703-872-9310 for After Final communications. for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9311 supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone numbers

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1990

SdS

June 27, 2002

PRIMARY EXAMINER LYLE A. ALEXANDEP